ALLOTMENT BACKGROUND QUESTIONNAIRE
(worksheet 1)

1. DISTRICT ________ RESOURCE AREA ________

2. ALLOTMENT NUMBER 0509 NAME Cox Butte

3. CATEGORY I DISTRICT RANK 17th on Area Rank published in 1974 RPS

4. GRAZING PREFERENCE AND DEMAND

   A. TOTAL PREFERENCE ........................................ 1320 AUMS
   B. SUSPENDED NON USE ...................................... 124 AUMS
   C. ACTIVE PREFERENCE ....................................... 1196 AUMS
   D. EXCHANGE OF USE .......................................... 0 AUMS
   E. CURRENT LIVESTOCK DEMAND (C+D) ....................... 1196 AUMS
   F. WILD HORSE ALLOCATION .................................. 0 AUMS
   G. TOTAL DEMAND (E+F) ...................................... 1200 AUMS
   H. TOTAL CAPACITY ............................................ 1200 AUMS
   I. RECENT ACTUAL LIVESTOCK USE* ......................... 1200 AUMS
   J. RECENT ACTUAL WILD HORSE USE ......................... 0 AUMS
   K. RECENT TOTAL ACTUAL USE (I+J) ......................... 1200 AUMS

* IF ACTUAL LIVESTOCK USE SUBSTANTIALLY EXCEEDS CURRENT LIVESTOCK
   DEMAND (E), WAS IT AUTHORIZED? NA WAS AN EA PREPARED? NA
   IF AN EA WAS NOT PREPARED, EXPLAIN: NA

5. GRAZING AUTHORIZATION RECORDS

   A. DOES THE GRAZING FILE HAVE A COPY OF A SIGNED AND CURRENT TERM
      PERMIT OR LEASE? YES
   B. DOES THE TERM (BEGINNING AND ENDING DATES) LISTED IN GABS
      CORRESPOND TO THAT SHOWN IN THE FILE (H-4130-1.21G,H,I)? YES
   C. IS BILLING IN ADVANCE, OR AFTER-THE-FACT? ADVANCE
      DOES THE AMP AUTHORIZE AFTER-THE-FACT BILLING
      (H-4130-1.71D)? NO AMP
      HAS AFTER-THE-FACT BILLING BEEN INCORPORATED INTO THE PERMIT OR
      LEASE (H-4130-1.71D)? NO
   D. IS THE PERMITTEE A GROUP, PARTNERSHIP, ASSOCIATION, OR
      CORPORATION? YES
      IF SO, HAS AN "AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE" FORM BEEN FILED? YES

6. MANAGEMENT STATUS

   IS ANY OF THE FOLLOWING SPECIFIED IN THE LEASE OR PERMIT (specify
   year): AMP CRMP FORMAL GRAZING PLAN
   IF NOT, SPECIFY REASON: NO AMP or Formal Grazing plan has been
   implemented yet
7. MONITORING

A. EVALUATION (specify year): COMPLETED SCHEDULED QTR.
   IF NEITHER, SPECIFY REASON: Too many other higher priority allotments have been scheduled above this one.

B. HOW FREQUENTLY IS ACTUAL USE DATA COLLECTED? Annually
   IF NOT ANNUALLY, SPECIFY REASON: 
   IS SUBMISSION OF ANNUAL ACTUAL USE REPORTS A CONDITION OF THE PERMIT/LEASE FOR THIS ALLOTMENT (check one)? YES / NO
   IF NO, SPECIFY REASON: 

C. HOW FREQUENTLY IS UTILIZATION DATA COLLECTED? Annually
   IF NOT IN ACCORDANCE WITH OREGON MANUAL SUPPLEMENT H-1734-2 ADJUSTED AS NECESSARY TO MEET 5-YEAR CLOCK, SPECIFY REASON:

8. IF ADDITIONAL RANGE IMPROVEMENTS ARE PLANNED FOR THIS ALLOTMENT, SPECIFY ESTIMATED TOTAL COST ($27,600) AND B/C (.53/1).
   (show kinds and amounts of planned improvements under "other" on pasture background questionnaires)

Worksheets 1 thru 4 completed by: Jack Brinckel

name  date 6/12/87

Checked for supporting documentation by: District Range Program Leader

district range program leader  date 6/12/87
All one Pasture
3/1 - 10/31
annually
Pasture Background Questionnaire
(Worksheet 3)

1. District: Lakeview 
   Resource Area: Lakeview

2. Allotment Number: 0509 
   Name: Cox Butte

3. Pasture Number: 1 
   Name: Cox Butte

4. Total Acres: 38,340 
   Percent BLM: 100% 
   Capacity: 1200 AUMS

5. Land Use Plan Objectives (grazing/vegetation related in priority order):
   A. Improve Vegetation Productivity by implementing an intensive grazing system.
   B. Improve desert forage and by some wildlife habitat by adding high-quality succulent forage and vegetal diversity to the range through completion of land treatment proposals.
   C. Increased range resulting from the above actions will be allotted to livestock in accordance with sustained yield and multiple use reduction.

6. Pasture Objectives (grazing/vegetation related in priority order):
   A. Objective: Improve and sustain productivity of vegetation by implementing intensive grazing systems.
      Critical Period: May - July
      Trend: 1AP

   B. Objective:
      Critical Period:
      Trend:

   C. Objective:
      Critical Period:
      Trend:

7. Limiting Factors for Grazing System Development (water, elevation/season, etc.): Limited potential for water development.

8. Special Considerations (T/E, WSA, ACEC, etc.): None

9. Other (specify): All additional planned Range Improvement:
   10 miles fence @ $150/mi = $1500
   1 Reservoir @ $40,000/ea = $40,000
   2 waterholes @ $1500/ea = $3000
   1240 ft. Burn @ $90/ft = $11160
   Total: $21,940
AMP/GRAZING SYSTEM EVALUATION
CHECKLIST

DISTRICT: Lakeview
RESOURCE AREA: Lakeview
REVIEWED BY: CB LW
SUMMARY:

ALLOTMENT NUMBER: 0509
NAME: Car Butte
CATEGORY: IA
RANK: 17

(3=Bad, P=Poor, F=Fair, G=Good, E=Excellent)

GENERAL

1. Complete documentation? P

2. Appropriate categorization/ranking? P

Borderline - since trend is up (question with the act every year and only objectives are livestock and only 10% Sav, could go M pretty easily.

Fiscal shortfalls? E

ALLOTMENT BACKGROUND QUESTIONNAIRE

GRAZING PREFERENCE AND DEMAND

4. Adequate record? P

5. Proper allocation? P

6. Use above preference? P

7. Appropriate investment? P

But less than 1:1, not submitted for so approval.
**Grazing Authorization Records**

8. Current and appropriate?  

**Management Status**

9. Have plans?  

10. Appropriate priorities/funding?  

**Monitoring**

11. Evaluation?  

Standard is 5 years for Fallots. Even with higher priority  
Is, shouldn't take them 10 years to get to this one.  

12. 5-year clock met? Why if no evaluation?  

Clock met? See 11 for eval.  

13. Actual Use?  

14. Utilization?  

**Range Improvements**

15. Cost effective & appropriate?
17. Known, useable, appropriate? Kind of heavy on actions, but here include objectives.

18. Track to LUP?


21. Desired Plant Community concept?

22. Critical periods reasonable?

LIMITING FACTORS
24. Physical limitations?

SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS
25. Agree categorization, etc.?

26. Reflected in pasture objectives?

OTHER
27. Projects for planned objectives?

28. Logical priorities/actions?

ALLOTMENT DIAGRAM &
PASTURE GRAZING SCHEDULE AND ACTUAL USE SUMMARY

GRAZING SCHEDULE
30. Treatments reflect objectives?

March - October use.

31. Objectives sacrificed?

see 30
33. System promote selective grazing?  
   Very low intensity very long duration.

34. System tailored for all critical periods?  

35. Clustered critical period use?  

36. Pasture stocking balanced with capacities?  
   At 35 werc/ann, suspect undocked & overgrazed.

37. Too many herds?  

38. Reasonable pasture move sequence?  
   Close

39. Effective system? Better options?  
   Lessor is good but somewhat offset by light stocking. Options  
   somewhat limited without development, but suggest something  
   could be done.

ACTUAL USE

40. Is system followed?  
   Be hard to not follow Mon.-Sat. use!