**ALLOTMENT BACKGROUND QUESTIONNAIRE**  
(worksheet 1)

1. DISTRICT **LAKEVIEW**  
   RESOURCE AREA **LAKEVIEW**  

2. ALLOTMENT NUMBER 0915  
   NAME **SQUAW BUTTE**

3. CATEGORY **M**  
   DISTRICT RANK **NONE**

4. **GRAZING PREFERENCE AND DEMAND**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. TOTAL PREFERENCE</th>
<th>1000 AUMs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B. SUSPENDED NON USE</td>
<td>0 AUMs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. ACTIVE PREFERENCE</td>
<td>1000 AUMs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. EXCHANGE OF USE</td>
<td>0 AUMs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. CURRENT LIVESTOCK DEMAND (C+D)</td>
<td>1000 AUMs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. WILD HORSE ALLOCATION</td>
<td>0 AUMs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. TOTAL DEMAND (E+F)</td>
<td>1000 AUMs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. TOTAL CAPACITY</td>
<td>1000 AUMs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. RECENT ACTUAL LIVESTOCK USE*</td>
<td>762 AUMs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. RECENT ACTUAL WILD HORSE USE</td>
<td>0 AUMs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. RECENT TOTAL ACTUAL USE (I+J)</td>
<td>762 AUMs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* IF ACTUAL LIVESTOCK USE SUBSTANTIALLY EXCEEDS CURRENT LIVESTOCK DEMAND (E), WAS IT AUTHORIZED?  
   WAS AN EA PREPARED?  
   IF AN EA WAS NOT PREPARED, EXPLAIN:

5. **GRAZING AUTHORIZATION RECORDS**

   A. DOES THE GRAZING FILE HAVE A COPY OF A SIGNED AND CURRENT TERM PERMIT OR LEASE? **Yes**

   B. DOES THE TERM (BEGINNING AND ENDING DATES) LISTED IN GABS CORRESPOND TO THAT SHOWN IN THE FILE (H-4130-1.21G, H, I)? **Yes**

   C. IS BILLING IN ADVANCE, OR AFTER-THE-FACT?  
      DOES THE AMP AUTHORIZE AFTER-THE-FACT BILLING (H-4130-1.71D)? **Yes**
      HAS AFTER-THE-FACT BILLING BEEN INCORPORATED INTO THE PERMIT OR LEASE (H-4130-1.71D)? **NO**

   D. IS THE PERMITTEE A GROUP, PARTNERSHIP, ASSOCIATION, OR CORPORATION? **NO**
      IF SO, HAS AN "AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE" FORM BEEN FILED?

6. **MANAGEMENT STATUS**

   IS ANY OF THE FOLLOWING SPECIFIED IN THE LEASE OR PERMIT (specify year): AMP **NO**  
   CRMP **NO**  
   FORMAL GRAZING PLAN **NO**
   IF NOT, SPECIFY REASON:  
   I DON'T KNOW WHY - OVERSIGHT?
7. MONITORING

(worksheet 1 - continued)

A. EVALUATION (specify year): COMPLETED 1980 SCHEDULED _____
   IF NEITHER, SPECIFY REASON: ________________________________________

B. HOW FREQUENTLY IS ACTUAL USE DATA COLLECTED? ANNUALLY
   IF NOT ANNUALLY, SPECIFY REASON: ______________________________________
   IS SUBMISSION OF ANNUAL ACTUAL USE REPORTS A CONDITION OF THE
   PERMIT/LEASE FOR THIS ALLOTMENT (check one)? YES X NO
   IF NO, SPECIFY REASON: ______________________________________

C. HOW FREQUENTLY IS UTILIZATION DATA COLLECTED? ANNUALLY
   IF NOT IN ACCORDANCE WITH OREGON MANUAL SUPPLEMENT H-1734-2
   ADJUSTED AS NECESSARY TO MEET 5-YEAR CLOCK, SPECIFY REASON:
   ______________________________________

8. IF ADDITIONAL RANGE IMPROVEMENTS ARE PLANNED FOR THIS ALLOTMENT,
   SPECIFY ESTIMATED TOTAL COST ($ _______ ) AND B/C (_________).
   (show kinds and amounts of planned improvements under "other" on
   pasture background questionaires)

Worksheets 1 thru 4 completed by: ________________________________
name ________________________________ date 8-3-89

Checked for supporting documentation by: _______________________
 district range program leader ________________________ date 8-3-89
DISTRICT: **LAKEVIEW**  
RESOURCE AREA: **LAKEVIEW**  
ALLOTMENT NO./NAME: **0915 / SQUAW BUTTE**

**LAVA PASTURE**
- **Yr 1**: 5/1 to 8/30
- **Yr 2**: REST
- **Yr 3**: 6/20 to 8/30

**WEST PASTURE**
- **Yr 1**: REST
- **Yr 2**: 6/20 to 8/30
- **Yr 3**: 5/1 to 8/30

**EAST PASTURE**
- **Yr 1**: 6/20 to 8/30
- **Yr 2**: 5/1 to 8/30
- **Yr 3**: REST
1. DISTRICT __________ RESOURCE AREA __________
   LAKEVIEW

2. ALLOTMENT NUMBER 0915 NAME __________
   SQUAW BUTTE

3. PASTURE NUMBER N/A NAME __________
   EAST

4. TOTAL ACRES 3020, PERCENT BLM 90 %, CAPACITY N/A AUMS

5. LAND USE PLAN OBJECTIVES (grazing/vegetation related in priority order): RPS - INFO
   A. MAINTAIN AND/OR IMPROVE ECOSITE CONDITION
   B. ______________________________________
   C. ______________________________________

6. PASTURE OBJECTIVES (grazing/vegetation related in priority order):
   A. OBJECTIVE
      CRITICAL PERIOD
      TREND
   B. OBJECTIVE
      CRITICAL PERIOD
      TREND
   C. OBJECTIVE
      CRITICAL PERIOD
      TREND

7. LIMITING FACTORS FOR GRAZING SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT (water, elevation/season, etc.): None.
   Grazing system under AMP

8. SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS (T/E, WSA, ACEC, etc.):
   ______________________________________
   ______________________________________
   ______________________________________

9. OTHER (specify):
   ______________________________________
   ______________________________________
   ______________________________________
Grazing Management Objectives

These objectives are consistent with the Management Framework Plan, the Lakeview Grazing Environmental Impact Statement and subsequent Range Land Program Summaries.

1. To minimize forage competition between wintering deer herds and livestock, no turnout prior to May 1 will be allowed, and 535 AUMs of forage are allocated to wildlife.

2. To maintain present satisfactory watershed conditions. This will be monitored through utilization levels.

3. To preserve the wilderness characteristics of the Squaw Ridge WSA. Grazing will be done in accordance with Interim Management Policy regulations.

4. To maintain the forage allocation to livestock at 1000 AUMs on a sustainable yield basis.

5. In accordance with the Rangeland Improvement Policy, the allotment is in the maintenance category. Therefore, the objective is to maintain a static trend as measured by the quadrat frequency studies at site SB-1 and SB-2.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>Apr</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>Jun</th>
<th>Jul</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sep</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual Use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(excluding wild horses)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GRAZING SYSTEM SCHEDULED USE (excluding wild horses)

Worksheet 4

PASTURE GRASSING SCHEDULE AND ACTUAL USE SUMMARY

DISTRICT: LAVEU

ALLOCATION NO./NAME: 015

PASTURE NO./NAME: 441
PASTURE BACKGROUND QUESTIONNAIRE
(worksheet 3)

1. DISTRICT Lakeview
   RESOURCE AREA Lakeview

2. ALLOTMENT NUMBER 0915
   NAME Squaw Butte

3. PASTURE NUMBER N/A
   NAME Lava

4. TOTAL ACRES 34.20
   PERCENT BLM 100 %
   CAPACITY N/A

5. LAND USE PLAN OBJECTIVES (grazing/vegetation related in priority order): RPS INFO

   A. MAINTAIN AND/OR IMPROVE ECOLOGICAL CONDITION

   B.

   C.

6. PASTURE OBJECTIVES (grazing/vegetation related in priority order):

   A. OBJECTIVE

      CRITICAL PERIOD

      TREND

   B. OBJECTIVE

      CRITICAL PERIOD

      TREND

   C. OBJECTIVE

      CRITICAL PERIOD

      TREND

7. LIMITING FACTORS FOR GRAZING SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT (water, elevation/season, etc.): NONE - Grazing System under Am

8. SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS (T/E, WSA, ACEC, etc.):

   WSA - Squaw Ridge

9. OTHER (specify):

   ________________________________

   ________________________________

   ________________________________

   ________________________________

   ________________________________

   ________________________________

2-4
Grazing Management Objectives

These objectives are consistent with the Management Framework Plan, the Lakeview Grazing Environmental Impact Statement and subsequent Range Land Program Summaries.

1. To minimize forage competition between wintering deer herds and livestock, no turnout prior to May 1 will be allowed, and 535 AUMs of forage are allocated to wildlife.

2. To maintain present satisfactory watershed conditions. This will be monitored through utilization levels.

3. To preserve the wilderness characteristics of the Squaw Ridge WSA. Grazing will be done in accordance with Interim Management Policy regulations.

4. To maintain the forage allocation to livestock at 1000 AUMs on a sustain yield basis.

5. In accordance with the Rangeland Improvement Policy, the allotment is in the maintenance category. Therefore, the objective is to maintain a static trend as measured by the quadrat frequency studies at site SB-1 and SB-2
## Grazing Schedule and Actual Use Summary

### Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>Apr</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>Jun</th>
<th>Jul</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sep</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Actual Use (excluding wild horses)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>Apr</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>Jun</th>
<th>Jul</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sep</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Grazing System Scheduled Use (excluding wild horses)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>Apr</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>Jun</th>
<th>Jul</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sep</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Worksheet (4)

- Pasture Name: Main EAS
- Allotment No. Name: QA/5
- Resource Area: Lavender
- District: Lawrence

**Note:** Schedule pasture use was not separated out for each grouping year.
PASTURE BACKGROUND QUESTIONNAIRE  
(worksheet 3)

1. DISTRICT  LAKEVIEW   RESOURCE AREA  LAKEVIEW

2. ALLOTMENT NUMBER  0915  NAME  SQUAW RUTTE

3. PASTURE NUMBER  N/A  NAME  WEST

4. TOTAL ACRES  2250  PERCENT BLM  100%  CAPACITY  N/A  AUMS

5. LAND USE PLAN OBJECTIVES (grazing/vegetation related in priority order):
   (RPS)
   A.  MAINTAIN AND/OR IMPROVE ECOSITE CONDITION

   B.  

   C.  

6. PASTURE OBJECTIVES (grazing/vegetation related in priority order):
   (No specific pasture objectives. See allotment for allotment objectives)
   A. OBJECTIVE
      CRITICAL PERIOD
      TREND

   B. OBJECTIVE
      CRITICAL PERIOD
      TREND

   C. OBJECTIVE
      CRITICAL PERIOD
      TREND

7. LIMITING FACTORS FOR GRAZING SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT (water, elevation/season, etc.):  NONE - GRAZING SYSTEM IMPLEMENTED

8. SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS (T/E, WSA, ACEC, etc.):  

9. OTHER (specify):  
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Grazing Management Objectives

These objectives are consistent with the Management Framework Plan, the Lakeview Grazing Environmental Impact Statement and subsequent Range Land Program Summaries.

1. To minimize forage competition between wintering deer herds and livestock no turnout prior to May 1 will be allowed, and 535 AUMs of forage are allocated to wildlife.

2. To maintain present satisfactory watershed conditions. This will be monitored through utilization levels.

3. To preserve the wilderness characteristics of the Squaw Ridge WSA. Grazing will be done in accordance with Interim Management Policy regulations.

4. To maintain the forage allocation to livestock at 1000 AUMs on a sustained yield basis.

5. In accordance with the Rangeland Improvement Policy, the allotment is in the maintenance category. Therefore, the objective is to maintain a static trend as measured by the quadrat frequency studies at site SB-1 and SB-4.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual Use (excluding wild horses)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual Use (excluding wild horses)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Pasture Grazing Schedule and Actual Use Summary**

Worksheet 4

Redline schedule: fence only split rail.
AMP/GRAZING SYSTEM EVALUATION CHECKLIST

DISTRICT: Lakeview
RESOURCE AREA: Lakeview
REVIEWED BY: CB LW
SUMMARY:

ALLOTMENT NUMBER: 0915
NAME: Squaw Butte
CATEGORY: 
RANK:

(B=Bad, P=Poor, F=Fair, G=Good, E=Excellent)

GENERAL

1. Complete documentation?
   Pasture specifics weak
   B F G E

2. Appropriate categorization/ranking?
   B P F G E

3. Fiscal shortfalls?
   B P F G E

ALLOTMENT BACKGROUND QUESTIONNAIRE

GRAZING PREFERENCE AND DEMAND

4. Adequate record?
   B P F G E

5. Proper allocation?
   Tough to judge without facts. Actual use indicates active preference may over-allocate
   B P F G E

6. Use above preference?
   B P F G E

7. Appropriate investment?
   Blank
GRAZING AUTHORIZATION RECORDS

8. Current and appropriate?  
Often fast billing but not a term of permit and apparently weak on pasture specifics.

MANAGEMENT STATUS

9. Have plans?  
Not a term of permit and apparently weak on pasture specifics.

10. Appropriate priorities/funding?  

MONITORING

11. Evaluation?  
Of evaluated 1980, why no trends or pasture specifics.

12. 5-year clock met? Why if no evaluation?

13. Actual Use?

14. Utilization?

RANGE IMPROVEMENTS

15. Cost effective & appropriate?
LAND USE PLAN OBJECTIVES

17. Known, useable, appropriate? [ ]

Borderline - indication may not be in plan but some later in an APS or update.

PASTURE OBJECTIVES

18. Track to LUP? [ ]

None for pasture. Some are management actions instead of specific resource objectives. Some appear to be more based on categorization instead of vice versa.

19. Resource objectives or management actions? [ ]

See 18

20. Realistic & monitorable? [ ]

See 18. Also, what objective does quadrant frequency monitor, how get extended trend from ICP, etc? Kind of needs like monitor for sake of monitoring.

21. Desired Plant Community concept? [ ]

Somewhat reflected in URP objectives, but those appear to come from an APS update instead of plan.

22. Critical periods reasonable? [ ]

None identified

23. Know & use trends? [ ]

None
LIMITING FACTORS
24. Physical limitations? Blank

SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS
25. Agree categorization, etc.? B P F G E

OTHER
27. Projects for planned objectives? B P F G E
28. Logical priorities/actions? B P F G E

ALLOTMENT DIAGRAM & PASTURE GRAZING SCHEDULE AND ACTUAL USE SUMMARY

GRAZING SCHEDULE Assume schedule on diagram in paga. Schedule assume all pasture objectives are for objectives. July-August 1st to 3rd years not very good for watershed cover and avoiding use of browse. (Aside)
30. Treatments reflect objectives? B P F G E
31. Objectives sacrificed? See 30
33. System promote selective grazing?
   
34. System tailored for all critical periods?
   
35. Clustered critical period use?
   
36. Pasture stocking balanced with capacities?
   
37. Too many herds?
   
38. Reasonable pasture move sequence?
   
39. Effective system? Better options?

ACTUAL USE

40. Is system followed?