

ALLOTMENT BACKGROUND QUESTIONNAIRE  
(worksheet 1)

1. DISTRICT VALE RESOURCE AREA BAKER

2. ALLOTMENT NUMBER 1302 NAME NORTH BRIDGEPORT

3. CATEGORY I DISTRICT RANK 10  
Resource Area

4. GRAZING PREFERENCE AND DEMAND

A. TOTAL PREFERENCE.....	<u>1023</u>	AUMs
B. SUSPENDED NON USE.....	<u>301</u>	AUMs
C. ACTIVE PREFERENCE.....	<u>722</u>	AUMs
D. EXCHANGE OF USE.....	<u>1222</u>	AUMs <sup>1</sup>
E. CURRENT LIVESTOCK DEMAND (C+D).....	<u>1944</u>	AUMs
F. WILD HORSE ALLOCATION.....	<u>-</u>	AUMs
G. TOTAL DEMAND (E+F).....	<u>1944</u>	AUMs
H. TOTAL CAPACITY.....	<u>827</u>	AUMs <sup>2</sup>
I. RECENT ACTUAL LIVESTOCK USE*.....	<u>1940</u>	AUMs
J. RECENT ACTUAL WILD HORSE USE.....	<u>-</u>	AUMs
K. RECENT TOTAL ACTUAL USE (I+J).....	<u>1940</u>	AUMs

\* IF ACTUAL LIVESTOCK USE SUBSTANTIALLY EXCEEDS CURRENT LIVESTOCK DEMAND (E), WAS IT AUTHORIZED? \_\_\_\_\_ WAS AN EA PREPARED? \_\_\_\_\_  
IF AN EA WAS NOT PREPARED, EXPLAIN: \_\_\_\_\_ *N/A*

5. GRAZING AUTHORIZATION RECORDS

A. DOES THE GRAZING FILE HAVE A COPY OF A SIGNED AND CURRENT TERM PERMIT OR LEASE? 1 = yes  
1 = no.

B. DOES THE TERM (BEGINNING AND ENDING DATES) LISTED IN GABS CORRESPOND TO THAT SHOWN IN THE FILE (H-4130-1.21G,H,I)? yes.

C. IS BILLING IN ADVANCE, OR AFTER-THE-FACT? ADVANCE  
DOES THE AMP AUTHORIZE AFTER-THE-FACT BILLING (H-4130-1.71D)? NO  
HAS AFTER-THE-FACT BILLING BEEN INCORPORATED INTO THE PERMIT OR LEASE (H-4130-1.71D)? N/A

D. IS THE PERMITTEE A GROUP, PARTNERSHIP, ASSOCIATION, OR CORPORATION? NO  
IF SO, HAS AN "AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE" FORM BEEN FILED? \_\_\_\_\_

6. MANAGEMENT STATUS

IS ANY OF THE FOLLOWING SPECIFIED IN THE LEASE OR PERMIT (specify year): AMP ✓ CRMP \_\_\_\_\_ FORMAL GRAZING PLAN \_\_\_\_\_

IF NOT, SPECIFY REASON: this is term and condition on the Annual billing (GBN 37a (9/87) for user and not other

<sup>1</sup> Includes 490 AUMs managed for the USFS.

<sup>2</sup> BLM only

7. MONITORING

A. EVALUATION (specify year): COMPLETED 1988 SCHEDULED 1993  
IF NEITHER, SPECIFY REASON: \_\_\_\_\_

B. HOW FREQUENTLY IS ACTUAL USE DATA COLLECTED? Annually  
IF NOT ANNUALLY, SPECIFY REASON: \_\_\_\_\_

IS SUBMISSION OF ANNUAL ACTUAL USE REPORTS A CONDITION OF THE PERMIT/LEASE FOR THIS ALLOTMENT (check one)? YES \_\_\_ NO   
IF NO, SPECIFY REASON: But the actual Use is obtained annually.

C. HOW FREQUENTLY IS UTILIZATION DATA COLLECTED? Annually  
IF NOT IN ACCORDANCE WITH OREGON MANUAL SUPPLEMENT H-1734-2 ADJUSTED AS NECESSARY TO MEET 5-YEAR CLOCK, SPECIFY REASON: \_\_\_\_\_

8. IF ADDITIONAL RANGE IMPROVEMENTS ARE PLANNED FOR THIS ALLOTMENT, SPECIFY ESTIMATED TOTAL COST (\$ 4000 ) AND B/C ( 1.45/1 ).  
(show kinds and amounts of planned improvements under "other" on pasture background questionnaires)

Worksheets 1 thru 4 completed by:

Gene M. Laughlin  
name

8/11/89  
date

Checked for supporting documentation by:

[Signature]  
district range program leader


8/11/89  
date

1988




Actually less than a flip-flop as a basic schedule!

1989




83

1990




84

1991




85

1992



86

1993




87

~~New system? Does not track with 1988 schedule pattern. Apparently had to be a flip-flop or 2 patterns.~~

ALLOTMENT DIAGRAM  
(worksheet 2)

DISTRICT: VALE RESOURCE AREA: BAKER

ALLOTMENT NO./NAME: 13021 North Bridgeport



PASTURE BACKGROUND QUESTIONNAIRE  
(worksheet 3)

1. DISTRICT VALE RESOURCE AREA BAKER
2. ALLOTMENT NUMBER 1302 NAME North Bridgeport
3. PASTURE NUMBER 1 NAME French Gulch
4. TOTAL ACRES 6572, PERCENT BLM 88.74 %, CAPACITY 281 AUMS
5. LAND USE PLAN OBJECTIVES (grazing/vegetation related in priority order):

- A. Improve and/or maintain riparian vegetation
- B. Maintain and/or improve wildlife habitat
- C. Maintain and/or improve ecosite condition

6. PASTURE OBJECTIVES (grazing/vegetation related in priority order):

- A. OBJECTIVE Increase diversity & height of woody plants along Dark Canyon and increase creek canopy cover  
CRITICAL PERIOD Summer  
TREND unknown
- B. OBJECTIVE Maintain herbaceous vegetation in riparian areas along Dark Canyon  
CRITICAL PERIOD Summer - fall  
TREND static
- C. OBJECTIVE Maximum allowable level of upland utilization is 50%  
CRITICAL PERIOD summer - fall  
TREND static

7. LIMITING FACTORS FOR GRAZING SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT (water, elevation/season, etc.): Steep terrain

8. SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS (T/E, WSA, ACEC, etc.): N/A

9. OTHER (specify):

PASTURE GRAZING SCHEDULE AND ACTUAL USE SUMMARY  
(worksheet 4)

DISTRICT: Valle RESOURCE AREA: BAKER  
 ALLOTMENT NO./NAME: 1302 / North Bridgeport PASTURE NO./NAME: 1 / French Gulch

YEAR	GRAZING SYSTEM SCHEDULED USE	SCHEDULED USE (excluding wild horses)												
		1 Jan	2 Feb	3 Mar	4 Apr	5 May	6 Jun	7 Jul	8 Aug	9 Sep	10 Oct	11 Nov	12 Dec	
<u>1983</u>	<u>485 AUMS</u>													
<u>1984</u>	<u>485 AUMS</u>													
<u>1985</u>	<u>485 AUMS</u>													
<u>1986</u>	<u>485 AUMS</u>													
<u>1987</u>	<u>485 AUMS</u>													
<u>1988</u>	<u>485 AUMS</u>													

YEAR	ACTUAL USE (excluding wild horses)	ACTUAL USE (excluding wild horses)												
		1 Jan	2 Feb	3 Mar	4 Apr	5 May	6 Jun	7 Jul	8 Aug	9 Sep	10 Oct	11 Nov	12 Dec	
<u>1983</u>	<u>398 AUMS</u>													
<u>1984</u>	<u>468 AUMS</u>													
<u>1985</u>	<u>582 AUMS</u>													
<u>1986</u>	<u>464 AUMS</u>													
<u>1987</u>	<u>359 AUMS</u>													
<u>1988</u>	<u>241 AUMS</u>													

PASTURE BACKGROUND QUESTIONNAIRE  
(worksheet 3)

1. DISTRICT Vale RESOURCE AREA Baker
2. ALLOTMENT NUMBER 1302 NAME North Bridgeport
3. PASTURE NUMBER 2 NAME Deer Creek
4. TOTAL ACRES 5542, PERCENT BLM 84<sup>30</sup>%, CAPACITY 354 AUMs
5. LAND USE PLAN OBJECTIVES (grazing/vegetation related in priority order):

A. Same AS FRENCH GULCH PASTURE

B.

C.

6. PASTURE OBJECTIVES (grazing/vegetation related in priority order):

A. OBJECTIVE \_\_\_\_\_

CRITICAL PERIOD \_\_\_\_\_

TREND \_\_\_\_\_

B. OBJECTIVE \_\_\_\_\_

CRITICAL PERIOD \_\_\_\_\_

TREND \_\_\_\_\_

C. OBJECTIVE \_\_\_\_\_

CRITICAL PERIOD \_\_\_\_\_

TREND \_\_\_\_\_

7. LIMITING FACTORS FOR GRAZING SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT (water, elevation/season, etc.): \_\_\_\_\_

8. SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS (T/E, WSA, ACEC, etc.): \_\_\_\_\_

9. OTHER (specify): \_\_\_\_\_



PASTURE GRAZING SCHEDULE AND ACTUAL USE SUMMARY  
(worksheet 4)

DISTRICT: Vale RESOURCE AREA: BAKER  
 ALLOTMENT NO./NAME: 1302 / North Bridgeport PASTURE NO./NAME: 2 / Deer Creek

YEAR	SCHEDULED USE	GRAZING SYSTEM SCHEDULED USE (excluding wild horses)													
		1 Jan	2 Feb	3 Mar	4 Apr	5 May	6 Jun	7 Jul	8 Aug	9 Sep	10 Oct	11 Nov	12 Dec		
1983	582 AUIMS														
1984	582 AUIMS														
1985	582 AUIMS														
1986	582 AUIMS														
1987	582 AUIMS														
1988	582 AUIMS														

YEAR	ACTUAL USE	ACTUAL USE (excluding wild horses)													
		1 Jan	2 Feb	3 Mar	4 Apr	5 May	6 Jun	7 Jul	8 Aug	9 Sep	10 Oct	11 Nov	12 Dec		
1983	531 AUIMS														
1984	468 AUIMS														
1985	492 AUIMS														
1986	384 AUIMS														
1987	346 AUIMS														
1988	403 AUIMS														

15-9

# AMP/GRAZING SYSTEM EVALUATION CHECKLIST

DISTRICT: Vale  
RESOURCE AREA: Baker  
REVIEWED BY: CB LW SUMMARY: \_\_\_\_\_

ALLOTMENT NUMBER: 1302  
NAME: North Bridgeport  
CATEGORY: F RANK: 10  
RA.

(B=Bad, P=Poor, F=Fair, G=Good, E=Excellent)

## GENERAL

1. Complete documentation? B P F G E

2. Appropriate categorization/ranking? B P F G E

~~3. Appropriate categorization/ranking?~~ ~~B P F G E~~

## ALLOTMENT BACKGROUND QUESTIONNAIRE

### GRAZING PREFERENCE AND DEMAND

4. Adequate record? B P F G E  
*Records may be there, but tough to account for ownerships as presented.*

5. Proper allocation? B P F G E  
*See 4. Also, the two pasture forms indicate over-obligation even after accounting for rotations.*

6. Use above preference? B P F G E

7. Appropriate investment? B P F G E

**GRAZING AUTHORIZATION RECORDS**

8. Current and appropriate?

*not current but GABS current.*

B P ~~F~~ G E

**MANAGEMENT STATUS**

9. Have plans?

*yes but only 1 of 2 operators and that one specified on annual billing but not on terms of lease/permit.*

B ~~P~~ F G E

Appropriate priorities/funding?

B P F G E

**MONITORING**

11. Evaluation?

B P ~~F~~ G E

12. 5-year clock met? Why if no evaluation?

B P ~~F~~ G E

13. Actual Use?

*Collecting but not a terms of lease/permit.*

B ~~P~~ F G E

14. Utilization?

B P ~~F~~ G E

**RANGE IMPROVEMENTS**

15. Cost effective & appropriate?

B P F G E

~~...sub... projects for management... B P F G E~~

**PASTURE BACKGROUND QUESTIONNAIRE**

**LAND USE PLAN OBJECTIVES**

17. Known, useable, appropriate? B P ~~F~~ G E

**PASTURE OBJECTIVES**

18. Track to LUP? B ~~P~~ F G E  
*Sure not carried forward. Using 50% utilization as in Argentine again.*

19. Resource objectives or management actions? B ~~P~~ F G E  
*See 18*

20. Realistic & monitorable? B P ~~F~~ G E  
*except see 18+19*

21. Desired Plant Community concept? B ~~P~~ F G E  
*For riparian, not used on uplands?*

22. Critical periods reasonable? B ~~P~~ F G E  
*Need more specific than "summer", "summer-fall."*

23. Know & use trends? B P ~~F~~ G E

**LIMITING FACTORS**

24. Physical limitations?

~~B P F G E~~

**SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS**

~~Agree categorization, etc.?~~

~~B P F G E~~

26. Reflected in pasture objectives?

~~B P F G E~~

*Blank*

**OTHER**

~~27. Projects for planned objectives?~~

~~B P F G E~~

28. Logical priorities/actions?

B P F G E

**ALLOTMENT DIAGRAM & PASTURE GRAZING SCHEDULE AND ACTUAL USE SUMMARY**

**GRAZING SCHEDULE**

30. Treatments reflect objectives?

*OK on riparian/woody, but too much May-June on upland herbaceous.*

~~B P F G E~~

31. Objectives sacrificed?

*Sacrifices upland herbaceous for riparian/woody.*

~~B P F G E~~

~~Projects needed & proper?~~

33. System promote selective grazing? ~~B~~  P F G E  
*yes - on upland herbaceous.*

34. System tailored for all critical periods? ~~B~~  P F G E  
*see 30, 31, 32,*

35. Clustered critical period use? ~~B~~  P F G E  
*see 30 thru 34*

36. Pasture stocking balanced with capacities? B  P F G E  
*appear over-diligated*

37. Too many herds? B P  F G E

38. Reasonable pasture move sequence? B P  F G E

39. Effective system? Better options? ~~B~~  P F G E  
*See above (general), should be able to integrate the F's  
 pastures better or at least double the flip-flops.  
 City systems not even ball flip-flops.*

## ACTUAL USE

40. Is system followed? B P  F G E