

ALLOTMENT BACKGROUND QUESTIONNAIRE  
(worksheet 1)

1. DISTRICT Wale RESOURCE AREA Baker  
2. ALLOTMENT NUMBER 3026 NAME Soda Creek  
3. CATEGORY I <sup>RA</sup> DISTRICT RANK 5

4. GRAZING PREFERENCE AND DEMAND

A. TOTAL PREFERENCE.....	<u>1582</u> AUMs
B. SUSPENDED NON USE.....	<u>421</u> AUMs
C. ACTIVE PREFERENCE.....	<u>1161</u> AUMs
D. EXCHANGE OF USE.....	<u>474</u> AUMs
E. CURRENT LIVESTOCK DEMAND (C+D).....	<u>1635</u> AUMs
F. WILD HORSE ALLOCATION.....	<u>—</u> AUMs
G. TOTAL DEMAND (E+F).....	<u>1635</u> AUMs
H. TOTAL CAPACITY.....	<u>1635</u> AUMs
I. RECENT ACTUAL LIVESTOCK USE*.....	<u>946</u> AUMs
J. RECENT ACTUAL WILD HORSE USE.....	<u>—</u> AUMs
K. RECENT TOTAL ACTUAL USE (I+J).....	<u>946</u> AUMs

\* IF ACTUAL LIVESTOCK USE SUBSTANTIALLY EXCEEDS CURRENT LIVESTOCK DEMAND (E), WAS IT AUTHORIZED? \_\_\_\_\_ WAS AN EA PREPARED? \_\_\_\_\_ N/A  
IF AN EA WAS NOT PREPARED, EXPLAIN: \_\_\_\_\_

5. GRAZING AUTHORIZATION RECORDS

- A. DOES THE GRAZING FILE HAVE A COPY OF A SIGNED AND CURRENT TERM PERMIT OR LEASE? No
- B. DOES THE TERM (BEGINNING AND ENDING DATES) LISTED IN GABS CORRESPOND TO THAT SHOWN IN THE FILE (H-4130-1.21G,H,I)? yes.
- C. IS BILLING IN ADVANCE, OR AFTER-THE-FACT? Advance  
DOES THE AMP AUTHORIZE AFTER-THE-FACT BILLING (H-4130-1.71D)? No  
HAS AFTER-THE-FACT BILLING BEEN INCORPORATED INTO THE PERMIT OR LEASE (H-4130-1.71D)? No
- D. IS THE PERMITTEE, A GROUP, PARTNERSHIP, ASSOCIATION, OR CORPORATION? No  
IF SO, HAS AN "AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE" FORM BEEN FILED? N/A

6. MANAGEMENT STATUS

IS ANY OF THE FOLLOWING SPECIFIED IN THE LEASE OR PERMIT (specify year): AMP \_\_\_\_\_ CRMP \_\_\_\_\_ FORMAL GRAZING PLAN yes  
IF NOT, SPECIFY REASON: on annual billing and is furnished a grazing schedule on the turnout letter

7. MONITORING

A. EVALUATION (specify year): COMPLETED \_\_\_\_\_ SCHEDULED 1991  
IF NEITHER, SPECIFY REASON: \_\_\_\_\_

B. HOW FREQUENTLY IS ACTUAL USE DATA COLLECTED? Annually  
IF NOT ANNUALLY, SPECIFY REASON: \_\_\_\_\_

IS SUBMISSION OF ANNUAL ACTUAL USE REPORTS A CONDITION OF THE PERMIT/LEASE FOR THIS ALLOTMENT (check one)? YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO   
IF NO, SPECIFY REASON: Included as a stipulation on the turnout letter

C. HOW FREQUENTLY IS UTILIZATION DATA COLLECTED? 1-2 years  
IF NOT IN ACCORDANCE WITH OREGON MANUAL SUPPLEMENT H-1734-2 ADJUSTED AS NECESSARY TO MEET 5-YEAR CLOCK, SPECIFY REASON: \_\_\_\_\_

8. IF ADDITIONAL RANGE IMPROVEMENTS ARE PLANNED FOR THIS ALLOTMENT, SPECIFY ESTIMATED TOTAL COST (\$ \_\_\_\_\_) AND B/C (\_\_\_\_\_  
(show kinds and amounts of planned improvements under "other" on pasture background questionnaires)

*NA*

Worksheets 1 thru 4 completed by:

Gene McLaughlin  
name

8/11/89  
date


Checked for supporting documentation by

Phil [Signature]  
district range program leader

8/11/89  
date

ALLOTMENT DIAGRAM  
(worksheet 2)

DISTRICT: Vale RESOURCE AREA: Baker  
ALLOTMENT NO./NAME: 3026, Soda Creek



- PASTURES**
- I Camp Creek
  - II Ridge Pasture
  - III Alder Creek
  - IV Quicksand
  - V Park Creek
  - VI 1200 Acre
  - VII Bear Gulch
  - VIII Vail Creek (upper & lower)
  - IX Soda Creek
  - X Sawmill Basin
  - XI Deacon Pasture
  - XII Magpie
  - XIII Douglas
  - XIV Horse Pasture (proposed)

PASTURE BACKGROUND QUESTIONNAIRE  
(worksheet 3)

1. DISTRICT VALE RESOURCE AREA BAKER
2. ALLOTMENT NUMBER \_\_\_\_\_ NAME All 14 PASTURES
3. PASTURE NUMBER \_\_\_\_\_ NAME \_\_\_\_\_
4. TOTAL ACRES 18,026, PERCENT BLM 52 %, CAPACITY 1635 AUMs
5. LAND USE PLAN OBJECTIVES (grazing/vegetation related in priority order):
  - A. Improve and/or maintain riparian vegetation
  - B. Maintain and/or improve wildlife habitat
  - C. Maintain and/or improve ecosite condition
6. PASTURE OBJECTIVES (grazing/vegetation related in priority order):
  - A. OBJECTIVE Unknown  
CRITICAL PERIOD \_\_\_\_\_  
TREND \_\_\_\_\_
  - B. OBJECTIVE \_\_\_\_\_  
CRITICAL PERIOD \_\_\_\_\_  
TREND \_\_\_\_\_
  - C. OBJECTIVE \_\_\_\_\_  
CRITICAL PERIOD \_\_\_\_\_  
TREND \_\_\_\_\_
7. LIMITING FACTORS FOR GRAZING SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT (water, elevation/season, etc.): Steep terrain and land ownership patterns
8. SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS (T/E, WSA, ACEC, etc.): \_\_\_\_\_
- ★ 9. OTHER (specify): This information on pastures is not readily available, but could be obtained if necessary.

# AMP/GRAZING SYSTEM EVALUATION CHECKLIST

DISTRICT: Vale  
RESOURCE AREA: Baker  
REVIEWED BY: CB LW SUMMARY:           

ALLOTMENT NUMBER: 3026  
NAME: Soda Creek  
CATEGORY: I RANK: 5  
*RA.*

(B=Bad, P=Poor, F=Fair, G=Good, E=Excellent)

## GENERAL

- 1. Complete documentation? ~~B P F G E~~  
*NO pasture specifics! Cat I, Rank 5, 14 pastures, supposedly a bond grazing plan, and no pasture specifics?*
- 2. Appropriate categorization/ranking? ~~B P F G E~~  
*All objectives say "maintain or improve". What is it?*

~~Financial shortfalls? ~~B P F G E~~~~

## ALLOTMENT BACKGROUND QUESTIONNAIRE

### GRAZING PREFERENCE AND DEMAND

- 4. Adequate record? ~~B P F G E~~
- 5. Proper allocation? ~~B P F G E~~
- 6. Use above preference? ~~B P F G E~~
- 7. Appropriate investment? ~~B P F G E~~  
*blank*

**GRAZING AUTHORIZATION RECORDS**

8. Current and appropriate?

*not current*

~~B P F G E~~

**MANAGEMENT STATUS**

9. Have plans?

*They have plans but no pasture specific shows for anything, pasture objectives "unknown", plan apparently specified in annual billing and/or turnout letter - but not a terms of L/P.*

~~B P F G E~~

Appropriate priorities/funding?

~~B P F G E~~

**MONITORING**

11. Evaluation?

~~B P F G E~~

12. 5-year clock met? Why if no evaluation?

*Clock N/A*

~~B P F G E~~

13. Actual Use?

*not terms L/P. Guy annually and stipulated on turnout letter, but no data submitted for any*

~~B P F G E~~

14. Utilization?

*Useless without act. use,*

~~B P F G E~~

**RANGE IMPROVEMENTS**

15. Cost effective & appropriate?

~~B P F G E~~

~~... projects for management? ...~~

**PASTURE BACKGROUND QUESTIONNAIRE**

**LAND USE PLAN OBJECTIVES**

17. Known, useable, appropriate? B P F G E

*Not specific enough, what is it, maintain OR improve.*

**PASTURE OBJECTIVES**

18. Track to LUP? B P F G E

*none*

19. Resource objectives or management actions? B P F G E

*none*

20. Realistic & monitorable? B P F G E

*none*

21. Desired Plant Community concept? B P F G E

*none*

22. Critical periods reasonable? B P F G E

*none*

23. Know & use trends? B P F G E

*none*

**LIMITING FACTORS**

24. Physical limitations?

~~B P F G E~~ *P*

**SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS**

~~25. Agree categorization, etc.?~~

~~B P F G E~~

26. Reflected in pasture objectives?

*May or may not be some. If so, apparently not documented.*

~~B P F G E~~ *P*

**OTHER**

~~27. Projects for planned objectives?~~

~~B P F G E~~

28. Logical priorities/actions?

~~B P F G E~~

**ALLOTMENT DIAGRAM & PASTURE GRAZING SCHEDULE AND ACTUAL USE SUMMARY**

**GRAZING SCHEDULE**

30. Treatments reflect objectives?

*No objectives and no pasture specifics*

~~B P F G E~~ *P*

31. Objectives sacrificed?

*Nothing to me as basis for judgement. What is the grazing plan that was referred? blank*

~~B P F G E~~

~~Projects needed & proper? B P F G E~~

33. System promote selective grazing? ~~B P F G E~~  
*Blank*

34. System tailored for all critical periods? B P F G E

35. Clustered critical period use? B P F G E

36. Pasture stocking balanced with capacities? B P F G E

37. Too many herds? B P F G E

38. Reasonable pasture move sequence? B P F G E

39. Effective system? Better options? B P F G E

*see 30*

---

**ACTUAL USE**

40. Is system followed? ~~B P F G E~~

*No data*

*Blank*